

LOCALIZATION AND FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS

BY

CHRIS PARKER

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Birmingham
Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK
e-mail: C.W.Parker@bham.ac.uk*

AND

JAN SAXL

*Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics
Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
e-mail: J.Saxl@dpmms.cam.ac.uk*

ABSTRACT

A homomorphism from a group H to a group G is a localization if and only if it induces a bijection between $\text{Hom}(G, G)$ and $\text{Hom}(H, G)$. In this paper we study the equivalence relation that localization induces on the family of finite non-abelian simple groups.

1. Introduction

Following [20] we define a group homomorphism $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ to be a **localization** if and only if ϕ induces a bijection between $\text{Hom}(G, G)$ and $\text{Hom}(H, G)$. Let \mathcal{L} denote the family of finite non-abelian simple groups, one of each isomorphism type. We are interested in the equivalence relation localization induces on \mathcal{L} . This was first investigated in [20, Theorem] where the authors establish that all the simple alternating groups, the sporadic simple groups except the Monster, the simple groups $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ and $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ are all in the same equivalence class. They call this equivalence class the **rigid component** of \mathcal{L} and denote it by \mathcal{L}_0 . In [20] it is suggested that perhaps $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0$ and that in any case \mathcal{L}_0 should contain the majority of the simple groups. This latter suggestion is part of what

we establish in this note. We say that a simple group is **isolated** in \mathcal{L} if it is the only member of its equivalence class.

THEOREM 1.1: *The rigid component \mathcal{L}_0 of \mathcal{L} contains all the non-abelian simple groups except $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ where p is an odd prime and $c > 0$. Furthermore, the groups not contained in \mathcal{L}_0 are isolated in \mathcal{L} .*

In [20] a group theoretic criterion is given which is equivalent to the existence of a localization of a simple group H into a simple group G .

THEOREM 1.2 ([20]): *Suppose that H and G are non-abelian finite simple groups and $i: H \rightarrow G$ is inclusion. Then i is a localization if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *The inclusion i extends to an inclusion $i: \mathrm{Aut}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G)$.*
- (2) *Any subgroup of G which is isomorphic to H is conjugate to $i(H)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$.*
- (3) $C_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(i(H)) = 1$.

If there is a non-trivial homomorphism from a simple group H to a group G , then it is of course a monomorphism. Thus if there is a localization from a simple group H to a group G , then H can be identified with a subgroup of G . If the three conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied, then we shall say that H is **localized** in G . Also in [20] an elementary criterion for an inclusion of H into the alternating group of degree n to be a localization is given:

THEOREM 1.3 ([20]): *Let H be a non-abelian finite simple group and K be a maximal subgroup of H of index $n \geq 7$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *the order of K is maximal; and*
- (2) *any subgroup of H of index n is conjugate to K .*

Then the permutation representation which includes H into $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ is a localization.

In Proposition 2.6 below, we obtain a result similar to Theorem 1.3 which gives a representation-theoretic criterion on a simple group H which guarantees that it is localized in either $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\epsilon(r)$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(r)$ for some prime r and integer n .

In Section 2 we prove that, with the exception of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ with p odd, all the non-abelian simple groups are contained in the rigid component \mathcal{L}_0 . In Section 3 we investigate the localizations of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$, the aim being to prove that the groups $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ with $c > 0$ are isolated in \mathcal{L} and that all the other

groups are contained in \mathcal{L}_0 . Our notation for the simple groups is consistent with Kleidman and Liebeck [10].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: This paper was written while the authors were visiting CalTech in the autumn of 2003. It is a pleasure to thank the department and in particular Michael Aschbacher for their hospitality. The authors also thank John Bray, Jacques Thévenaz, Jerome Scherer and Robert Wilson for helpful discussions about the problem addressed in this paper.

2. The rigid component

In this section we show that all the simple groups apart from $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ with p odd are contained in the rigid component of \mathcal{L} . We take as our starting point the results from [20]. So we assume that \mathcal{L}_0 contains all the simple alternating groups, the simple groups $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$ and all the sporadic simple groups except the Monster.

LEMMA 2.1: *Suppose that H is a simple group of Lie type defined in characteristic p and P is a parabolic subgroup of H . Assume that any parabolic subgroup of H which has the same order as P is conjugate to P . If $|P|$ is maximal among the orders of proper subgroups of H , then $H \in \mathcal{L}_0$.*

Proof: Suppose that $P^* \leq H$ and $|P^*| = |P|$. Then by Sylow's Theorem we may suppose that P^* contains a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Therefore, a result of Borel and Tits [6, Theorem 2.6.7] implies that P^* is contained in a parabolic subgroup \hat{P} of H . The maximality of $|P|$ means that $P^* = \hat{P}$ and then P is conjugate to P^* by assumption. Thus, as all the alternating groups are in \mathcal{L}_0 , Theorem 1.3 implies $H \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and completes the proof. ■

PROPOSITION 2.2: *Suppose that p is a prime and $q = p^a$. Then the following simple groups are contained in \mathcal{L}_0 .*

- (1) $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$, $n > 2$.
- (2) $\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, $n \geq 4$.
- (3) $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$, $n \geq 4$, $\epsilon = \pm$ and $(n, \epsilon) \neq (4, +)$.
- (4) $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$, $n \geq 3$ and q odd.

Proof: Let G be any of the groups listed in the statement of the proposition. The minimal permutation degree d for G is presented in [4] (see [10, Table 5.2A] for a slightly corrected list). If the point stabilizer in the minimal permutation representation of G is a parabolic subgroup of G , then by examining the orders of

the parabolic subgroups and then using Lemma 2.1 we have G localized in $\text{Alt}(d)$ (this is the point in the proof where groups like $\text{PSL}_n(q)$, $\text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$, $\text{F}_4(q)$ and $\text{PSp}_4(q)$ have to be excluded). According to [10, Table 5.2] (together with two further improvements), this leaves the groups $\text{PSp}_{2n}(2)$ with $n \geq 3$, $\text{P}\Omega_{2n+1}^+(3)$ with $n \geq 3$, $\text{P}\Omega_{2n}^+(2)$ and $\text{P}\Omega_{2n}^+(3)$ with $n > 4$, $\text{PSU}_n(3)$ with n divisible by 6 and $\text{PSU}_n(2)$ with n even to be considered further. For these groups, we invoke the main result of [11] to see that the point stabiliser in the degree d permutation representation is determined uniquely up to conjugacy by its order in G . An application of Theorem 1.3 completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3: *The following groups are contained in \mathcal{L}_0 .*

- (1) ${}^2\text{B}_2(2^a)$, $a > 1$.
- (2) ${}^2\text{G}_2(3^a)$, $a > 1$.
- (3) ${}^2\text{F}_4(2^a)$, $a > 1$.

Proof: We examine the maximal subgroups of each of the groups and then apply Theorem 1.3. See, for example, [6, Theorems 6.5.4 and 6.5.6] for ${}^2\text{B}_2(2^a)$ and ${}^2\text{G}_2(3^a)$, $a > 1$ and [17] for ${}^2\text{F}_4(2^a)$, $a > 1$. Alternatively use the main result in [12]. ■

We recall that for a group H and a field k , two representations $\rho_1: H \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$ and $\rho_2: H \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$ are **quasiequivalent** if there is $\theta \in \text{Aut}(H)$ such that $\theta\rho_1$ is equivalent to ρ_2 .

LEMMA 2.4: *Suppose that k is a field, H is a finite group and $\phi: H \rightarrow G = \text{GL}_n(k)$ is a faithful representation. Then the number of inequivalent representations of H which are quasiequivalent to ϕ is $\frac{|\text{Aut}(H)|}{|N_G(\phi(H))/C_G(\phi(H))|}$.*

Proof: For $g \in G$ let c_g represent the automorphism of G induced by conjugation. Then, for $g \in N_G(\phi(H))$ the map $g \mapsto \phi.c_g.\phi^{-1}$ embeds $N_G(\phi(H))/C_G(\phi(H))$ into $\text{Aut}(H)$. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(H)$. Then $\alpha.\phi$ is equivalent to $\beta.\phi$ if and only if there is a $g \in G$ such that $\alpha.\phi = \beta.\phi.c_g$ which is if and only if $\beta^{-1}.\alpha = \phi.c_g.\phi^{-1}$. Thus the number of inequivalent representations of H which are quasiequivalent to ϕ is equal to the number of cosets of the image of $N_G(\phi(H))$ in $\text{Aut}(H)$ as claimed. ■

LEMMA 2.5: *Suppose that G acts absolutely irreducibly on the kG -module V by the representation ϕ . Assume that G leaves invariant a symplectic, quadratic or*

a unitary form f , and let $h \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$. If $\phi(G)^h$ preserves f , then h is contained in the similarity group of f .

Proof: Define $f_h(v, w) = f(vh, wh)$ for all $v, w \in V$. Then f_h has the same type as f . Furthermore, for all $v, w \in V$ and $g \in G$, $f_h(vg, wg) = f(vgh, wgh) = f(vhh^{-1}gh, vhh^{-1}gh) = f(vhg^h, whg^h) = f(vh, wh) = f_h(v, w)$. Therefore, f_h is also G -invariant. It follows from [10, 2.10.3] that $f_h = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in k$ and this means precisely that h is in the similarity group of f . ■

PROPOSITION 2.6: *Suppose that G is a simple group with universal covering group \hat{G} and r is a prime with $r \equiv 1 \pmod{|\hat{G}|}$. If \hat{G} has a unique non-trivial complex representation ϕ of minimal degree d , then G is localized in one of $\mathrm{PSp}_d(r)$ or $\mathrm{P}\Omega_d^\epsilon(r)$.*

Proof: Let ϕ be the unique non-trivial complex representation of \hat{G} of minimal degree d . Note that as r and $|\hat{G}|$ are coprime, this representation can be realized over a field of characteristic r . Then, as $r \equiv 1 \pmod{|\hat{G}|}$, ϕ can be realized over $\mathrm{GF}(r)$. Thus we may take $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(r)$. Since ϕ is unique of this degree, ϕ and the dual of ϕ are equivalent. Hence, setting $H = \phi(\hat{G})$, we see that H preserves either a non-degenerate quadratic form or a non-degenerate symplectic form. Thus ϕ can be considered as a homomorphism from \hat{G} into one of $\mathrm{Sp}_d(r)$ or $\Omega_d^\epsilon(r)$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, $N_{\mathrm{GL}_d(r)}(H)/C_{\mathrm{GL}_d(r)}(H)H \cong \mathrm{Out}(\hat{G})$ and $N_{\mathrm{GL}_d(r)}(H) \leq \mathrm{GSp}_d(r)$ or $\mathrm{GO}_d^\epsilon(r)$. By the choice of r , ϕ is absolutely irreducible, so $C_{\mathrm{GL}_d(r)}(H) = Z(\mathrm{GL}_d(r))$. Hence $\mathrm{Aut}(\hat{G})$ embeds into $\mathrm{PGSp}_d(r)$ or into $\mathrm{PGO}_d^\epsilon(r)$. Finally, the uniqueness and minimality of ϕ together with Lemma 2.5 imply that the conjugacy class of $H/Z(H)$ is the unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $H/Z(H)$ contained in $\mathrm{PGSp}_d(r)$ or in $\mathrm{PGO}_d^\epsilon(r)$. The proposition now follows from Theorem 1.2. ■

The following lemma is mentioned by Robert Wilson in his review of [20] for Zentralblatt.

LEMMA 2.7: *The Monster is in \mathcal{L}_0 .*

Proof: This is immediate from Proposition 2.6 as the Monster has a unique minimal complex representation (of degree 196883). ■

PROPOSITION 2.8: *Suppose that p is a prime and $q = p^a$. Then the following simple groups are contained in \mathcal{L}_0 .*

- (1) $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 2)$ and $(4, 3)$.

- (2) $P\Omega_8^+(q)$ with $q \geq 4$.
- (3) $P\mathrm{Sp}_4(2^a)$ with $a > 1$.
- (4) $G_2(q)$ with $q > 2$.
- (5) ${}^3D_4(q)$.
- (6) $F_4(q)$.
- (7) $E_n(q)$ with $n = 6, 7, 8$ and ${}^2E_6(q)$.

Proof: Let G be one of the groups listed in the proposition and let \hat{G} be its universal covering group. By [15] and [21], under the conditions stipulated, G has a unique projective representation over \mathbb{C} of minimal degree. (Here we note that exceptional covers of G have been taken into account in [15] and [21].) Furthermore, [21, Proposition 7.2 and Theorem 7.6] indicates that the minimal projective representation for $P\Omega_8^+(q)$, $q \geq 5$ is unipotent. This means that it extends uniquely to a representation of the covering group of $\mathrm{Spin}_8(q)$ with the centre of the latter group in the kernel of the representation (see [2, page 380]). Noting that for the other classes of groups the universal covering group of G has cyclic centre, we see \hat{G} has a unique complex representation of minimal degree. Thus the result follows from Proposition 2.6. ■

PROPOSITION 2.9: *Any simple group other than $P\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$ with p odd is in \mathcal{L}_0 .*

Proof: Using Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and 2.8 with Lemma 2.7 and [20] we only need to consider those groups explicitly excluded from consideration in Propositions 2.3 and 2.8. However, we note that $\mathrm{PSL}_3(2) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong \mathrm{Alt}(8)$ and so these two groups are in \mathcal{L}_0 . We have $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ by [20] and the embedding $\mathrm{Alt}(6)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$ implies $\mathrm{PSL}_3(4) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ (see [5]). Noting that the group $2.O_8^+(2)$ is the Weyl group of type E_8 , we have embeddings $P\Omega_8^+(2)$ into $P\Omega_8^+(3)$ and into $P\Omega_8^+(5)$. Using [9, Proposition 2.3.8] we see that both of these are localizations and as $P\Omega_8^+(5) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ by Proposition 2.8, we have both $P\Omega_8^+(2)$ and $P\Omega_8^+(3) \in \mathcal{L}_0$. The symplectic group omitted in Proposition 2.8 is $P\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)' \cong \mathrm{Alt}(6)$ and the omitted group of type $G_2(q)$ is $G_2(2)' \cong \mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ and so these groups are in \mathcal{L}_0 . Finally, by [20], we have ${}^2G_2(3)' \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(8) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and ${}^2F_4(2)' \in \mathcal{L}_0$ via the embedding ${}^2F_4(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alt}(4060)$. ■

3. The groups $P\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ and isolated groups

The objective of this section is to prove:

PROPOSITION 3.1: Suppose that p is an odd prime and $q = p^a$ for a positive integer a . Set $H \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$.

- (1) If $a \neq 2^c$ with $c > 0$, then $H \in \mathcal{L}_0$.
- (2) If $a = 2^c$ with $c > 0$, then H is isolated in \mathcal{L} .

We investigate the localizations related to $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ via a sequence of lemmas. For the rest of this paper we shall assume that p is an odd prime and $q = p^a$.

We begin by showing that if $q = p^{2^c}$ with $c > 0$, then no proper non-abelian simple subgroup of $G = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ is localized in G .

LEMMA 3.2: Suppose that H is a non-abelian simple group and $G \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ with $q = p^{2^c}$, p an odd prime and $c > 0$. If H is localized in G , then $H \cong G$.

Proof: Suppose that H is a non-abelian simple group which is localized in $G \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$. By Theorem 1.2, we require that $C_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(H) = 1$. So, in particular, we require $C_G(H) = 1$. Since H is contained in a maximal subgroup of G , we can use the list of maximal subgroups of G as presented in [18]. From the structure of the parabolic subgroups of G , we infer that H is not contained in a parabolic subgroup of G . If H is contained in $\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)$, then, as q is odd, H is centralized by the diagonal automorphism of G (see [6, Table 4.5.1]), and since the image of $\mathrm{GU}_2(q)$ in $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ has non-trivial centralizer, H is not contained in this subgroup either. The subgroup $(\mathrm{SL}_2(q) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(q)).2$ is the centralizer of an involution in G , so H is not contained in this subgroup. Next H does not lie in the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_2(q) : 2$ since this group also has a non-trivial centralizer in G . Since the subfield subgroups are centralized by field automorphisms of G , H is not contained in such a subgroup. If H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ arising from an irreducible embedding of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ into $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ (which occurs for $p \geq 5$ and $q \geq 7$), then as we have assumed that H is localized in G , H must also be conjugate to a subgroup of the parabolic subgroup $q^3 : \mathrm{PSL}_2(q) : (q-1)$, which is a contradiction. Since the other types of maximal subgroups of G only occur for q a prime, this shows that H is not contained in any of the maximal subgroups of G and hence $H \cong G$ as claimed. ■

Suppose that $k = \mathrm{GF}(q^b)$ for some positive integer b and odd prime power q and k' is the subfield of k isomorphic to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Assume that V is a vector space of dimension n over k and f is a non-degenerate symplectic form on V . Let $\mathrm{GSp}_n(k)$ be the group of k -similarities of the symplectic space (V, f) . Define $\mathrm{GSp}_{n,k'}(k)$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_n(k)$ which consists of k' -similarities of (V, f) ; those similarities which satisfy $f(vg, wg) = \lambda f(v, w)$ for some $\lambda \in k'$. Let $T_{k'}^k : k \rightarrow k'$ be the trace map. Consider V as a vector space over k' and

let $f_{k'}$ be the non-degenerate symplectic form $T_{k'}^k f$. Since $f_{k'}$ is preserved up to similarity by $\mathrm{GSp}_{n,k'}(k)$, $H = \mathrm{GSp}_{n,k'}(k)$ naturally embeds into $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{nb}(k')$ and, moreover, the projection map $\mathrm{GSp}_n(k') \rightarrow k'$ restricts to a surjective projection from H to k' . Since $\mathrm{GSp}_n(k)$ has a unique subgroup of index 2, we have $\mathrm{PGSp}_{n,k'}(k) \cong \mathrm{PSp}_n(k)$ if b is even and $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(k)$ if b is odd. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSp}_{nb}(k'))$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSp}_n(k))$ when b is odd and that, when b is even $\mathrm{PGSp}_{nb}(k')$ contains a subgroup $2 \times \mathrm{PSp}_n(k)$. Furthermore, by [10, 4.3.10] these subgroups are unique up to conjugacy. Thus we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3: *If q is odd, then the inclusion of $\mathrm{PSp}_n(q^b)$ into $\mathrm{PSp}_{nb}(q)$ is a localization if and only if b is odd. In particular, for p odd and $a \neq 2^c$ with $c \geq 0$, $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a) \in \mathcal{L}_0$. ■*

Notice that the previous lemma still leaves open the possibility that $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ (the case $c = 0$).

LEMMA 3.4: *There are no localizations of $H = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ with $q > 3$ into $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$.*

Proof: By [4] (see also [10, Table 5.2 a]) the minimal faithful permutation degree for H is $m = (q^4 - 1)/(q - 1)$. This is the index in H of both the maximal parabolic subgroups of H containing a fixed Borel subgroup of H . Since the maximal parabolic subgroups of H are not isomorphic to each other, H has two inequivalent permutation representations of degree m . Hence H is not localized in $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ any n . ■

Before continuing our investigations of localizations of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ we need some preparatory lemmas.

LEMMA 3.5: *Suppose that G acts absolutely irreducibly on the self-dual kG -module V by the representation ϕ . Let τ be the inverse transpose automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Then there exists $h \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that $h\tau$ (the product of h and τ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{GL}(V))$) centralizes $\phi(G)$. Furthermore, if $\phi(G) \leq \mathrm{GU}(V)$, then h can be chosen in $\mathrm{GU}(V)$.*

Proof: Let τ be the inverse transpose automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Since V is isomorphic to V^* , there exists $h \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that $\phi(g)^h = \phi(g)^\tau$ for all $g \in G$. This means that $h\tau$ centralizes $\phi(G)$. Now suppose that $\phi(G) \leq \mathrm{GU}(V)$. Then by Lemma 2.5 there is a $h \in \mathrm{GU}(V)$ such that $\phi(g)^\tau = \phi(g)^h$ and again we are done. ■

Suppose that K is a finite field, H is a finite group and V is an irreducible KH -module. Consider V as a $\text{GF}(p)H$ -module V_p . Then V_p is a homogeneous completely reducible module. Let W be an irreducible submodule of V_p and put $E = \text{End}_{\text{GF}(p)H}(W)$. Then E is called the **field of definition** of V .

LEMMA 3.6: Suppose that p is a prime, K is a finite field of characteristic p , H is a finite group and V is an irreducible KH -module. Let E be the field of definition of V and F be the largest subfield of E which is also a subfield of K . Then there exists an irreducible FH -module W such that $V \cong W \otimes_F K$.

Proof: Let $L = \text{End}_{KH}(V)$. Then by [1, (25.10)]

$$V \otimes_K L = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} X^\sigma$$

where each X^σ is an absolutely irreducible LH -module and the number of irreducible submodules of $V \otimes_K L$ is $|\text{Gal}(L/K)|$. Regarding V as an FH -module, V is a direct sum of isomorphic irreducible FH -modules. Let W be an irreducible submodule of the FH -module V . Then $E = \text{End}_{FH}(W)$ and

$$W \otimes_F E = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} Y^\tau$$

where each Y^τ is an absolutely irreducible EH -module and the number of irreducible submodules is $|\text{Gal}(E/F)|$. Note that we have $W \otimes_F L \cong (W \otimes_F E) \otimes_E L$ and $W \otimes_F L \cong (W \otimes_F K) \otimes_K L$. Since each Y^τ in $W \otimes_F E$ is absolutely irreducible, we have

$$W \otimes_F L = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} (Y^\tau \otimes_E L)$$

is a decomposition as a direct sum of irreducible LH -modules. Now V can be identified as an irreducible submodule of $W \otimes_F K$. Therefore, $V \otimes_K L$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} (Y^\tau \otimes_E L)$. Since $|\text{Gal}(E/F)| = |\text{Gal}(L/K)|$, we conclude that $V \otimes_K L \cong W \otimes_F L$ and this means that $W \otimes_F K \cong V$ as claimed. ■

LEMMA 3.7: Suppose that p is an odd prime, $K = \text{GF}(p^b)$, H is a finite group and V is an irreducible KH -module. Let $E = \text{End}_{KH}(V)$. If V supports a non-trivial KH -invariant quadratic form and a non-trivial KH -invariant symplectic form, then $|E : K|$ is even, each irreducible EH -submodule of $V \otimes_K E$ is not self-dual and supports a non-degenerate unitary form. In particular, if $\dim_K V = n$, we have embeddings $H \leq U_{n/2}(p^b) \leq \text{Sp}_n(p^b)$ and $H \leq U_{n/2}(p^b) \leq \text{O}_n^\epsilon(p^b)$.

Proof: Suppose that f is a non-trivial symplectic form on V , g a non-trivial quadratic form on V and suppose that they are both KH -invariant. Set $W = V \otimes_K E$. Define $\hat{f} = f \otimes E$ by

$$\hat{f}(v \otimes \lambda, w \otimes \mu) = f(v, w)\lambda\mu$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in E$, and $v, w \in V$. Similarly set $\hat{g} = g \otimes E$. Then \hat{f} is a non-degenerate symplectic form on W , \hat{g} is a non-degenerate quadratic form on W and both are KH -invariant. In particular, W is a self-dual EH -module. By [1, (25.10)]

$$W = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)} X^\sigma$$

where each X^σ is irreducible and $X^\sigma \cong X^\tau$ if and only if $\sigma = \tau$.

Since W is self-dual, X^* appears as one of the irreducible submodules in the decomposition of W above. Suppose that $X^* \cong X^\tau$ for some non-trivial $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$. Since $X \cong X^{**} \cong (X^\tau)^* \cong (X^*)^\tau \cong X^{\tau^2}$, τ has order 2 and $[E : K]$ is even. Furthermore, in this case X supports an KH -invariant unitary form by [10, (2.10.15) (ii)] and this is part of our desired conclusion. Suppose that $X^* \cong X$. Then each X^σ is self-dual. We consider the forms \hat{f} and \hat{g} restricted to X . If for either form X is isotropic, then $V/X^\perp \cong X^*$ together with the decomposition of W given above, delivers a contradiction. Thus \hat{f} and \hat{g} both restrict to non-degenerate forms on X . But X is absolutely irreducible and so \hat{f} and \hat{g} are similar as E -bilinear forms by [1, Ex. 9.1(4)]. Of course this contradicts the fact that \hat{f} is symplectic and \hat{g} is quadratic. Therefore X is not self-dual and the proof is complete. ■

LEMMA 3.8: *Assume that K is a field, H is a group and V is a KH -module which supports a non-degenerate symplectic form. Suppose that X is a self-dual irreducible KH -module and that X does not support a KH -invariant non-degenerate symplectic form. Then the multiplicity of X in the composition factors of V is even.*

Proof: Select a counterexample V of minimal dimension. Suppose that there is a non-zero KH -invariant isotropic subspace W of V . Then, as KH -modules, $V/W^\perp \cong W^*$ and so the multiplicity of X in the composition factors of the non-degenerate symplectic space W^\perp/W is odd and we have a contradiction to our minimal choice of V . Thus every KH -invariant subspace of V is non-degenerate. Let U be a minimal KH -invariant subspace of V . Then U is non-degenerate and irreducible as a KH -module. As $V = U \perp U^\perp$, it follows that the multiplicity

of X in at least one of the non-degenerate spaces U and U^\perp is odd. Therefore, $V = U$ is irreducible. However, we then have $U \cong X$ which is a contradiction as X does not support a KH -invariant symplectic form. ■

LEMMA 3.9: *Suppose that $H \cong \mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ and k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic r with $(r, q) = 1$. Let V be a non-trivial kH -module of dimension less than $(q(q-1)^2)/2$. Then, if r is odd, V is one of the four Weil representations of dimension $(q^2 \pm 1)/2$, (two of dimension $(q^2 - 1)/2$ and two of dimension $(q^2 + 1)/2$) and, if r is even, then V is one of the two Weil modules of dimension $(q^2 - 1)/2$.*

Proof: This is a special case of [7, Theorem 2.1]. ■

Before we investigate the possibility that H is localized in a group of Lie type, we record some facts about the Weil modules for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$.

LEMMA 3.10: *Suppose that $H \cong \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ and k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic r with $(r, q) = 1$. If r is odd, let V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4 be the four Weil modules for kH and choose notation so that $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = (q^n - 1)/2$ and $\dim V_3 = \dim V_4 = (q^n + 1)/2$. If r is even, let V_1 and V_2 be the two Weil modules for kH of dimension $(q^n - 1)/2$. Then*

- (1) *The pairs of modules V_1 and V_2 , and, V_3 and V_4 are quasiequivalent by the diagonal automorphism of H .*
- (2) *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and r is odd, then V_1 and V_2 are faithful kH -modules while V_3 and V_4 are faithful $kH/Z(H)$ -modules. All the Weil modules are self-dual, V_1 and V_2 support a kH -invariant symplectic form and V_3 and V_4 support a kH -invariant quadratic form.*
- (3) *If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and r is odd, then V_3 and V_4 are faithful kH -modules while V_1 and V_2 are faithful $kH/Z(H)$ -modules. The Weil modules are not self-dual; $V_1^* = V_2$ and $V_3^* = V_4$.*
- (4) *If $r = 2$, then V_1 and V_2 are modules for $kH/Z(H)$ and V_1 and V_2 are self-dual if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Moreover, V_1 and V_2 do not support a kH -invariant orthogonal form.*
- (5) *Let z be a transvection (long root element) in H . If z and z^r are conjugate in H , then the field of definition of all the Weil modules is $\mathrm{GF}(r)$. If z and z^r are not conjugate then, the field of definition of all the Weil modules is $\mathrm{GF}(r^2)$.*

Proof: See [7, page 305]. ■

We now return to localizations of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$.

LEMMA 3.11: *Suppose that $H = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ with $q = p^a \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, r is a prime which does not divide $|H|$ and $\mathrm{GF}(r)$ is the field of definition of the Weil representations of H (so take $r \equiv 1 \pmod{|G|}$ for example). Then the Weil representation ϕ of dimension $(q^2 - 1)/2$ followed by projection is a localization of H into $\mathrm{PSL}_{(q^2-1)/2}(r)$.*

Proof: Let $H = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ and $G = \mathrm{GL}_{(q^2-1)/2}(r)$. By Lemma 3.9, $\phi(H)$ is a representative of the unique conjugacy class of subgroups of G isomorphic to H . By the choice of r , $C_G(\phi(H)) = Z(G)$ and, by Lemmas 3.10 (1) and 2.4, $N_G(\phi(H))/C_G(\phi(H))$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of index 2 in the automorphism group of H . Finally, by Lemma 3.10 (3), the two Weil representations of dimension $(q^2 - 1)/2$ are dual to each other and so, if τ represents the inverse transpose automorphism of G and $G_1 = G\langle\tau\rangle$, then $N_{G_1}(\phi(H))/C_{G_1}(\phi(H)) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(H)$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ embeds into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSL}_{(q^2-1)/2}(r))$ and we have fulfilled all the conditions of Theorem 1.2. This proves the lemma. ■

Suppose that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and r is a prime which is not a quadratic residue modulo p . Then for a transvection (long root element) z in $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$, z and z^r are not conjugate in $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$. Thus by Lemma 3.9, the splitting field of the Weil representations is $\mathrm{GF}(r^2)$. Consider the embedding of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p)$ into $\mathrm{GSp}_{(p^2-1)/2}(r^2)$. Then, as there are two quasiequivalence classes of Weil representations this extends to an embedding of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(p)$ into $\mathrm{GSp}_{(p^2-1)/2}(r^2) \cdot \langle\sigma\rangle$ where σ is a field automorphism of order 2. It follows that $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$ is localized in $\mathrm{PSp}_{(p^2-1)/2}(r^2)$. We record this result in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.12: *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and r is not a quadratic residue modulo p , then the Weil embedding of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$ into $\mathrm{PSp}_{(p^2-1)/2}(r^2)$ is a localization.* ■

It remains to deal with $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ with $c > 0$.

LEMMA 3.13: *Suppose that p is an odd prime and $H \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ with $c > 0$. If G is a simple classical group defined over a field of characteristic $r \neq p$, then there are no localizations of H into G .*

Proof: Let p , r , H , G and c be as in the statement of the lemma. Let z be a transvection (long root element) in H . Then, as $c \geq 1$, z is conjugate to z^r in H . Therefore, Lemma 3.10 (3), (4) and (5) indicate that the Weil modules are all self-dual and have field of definition $\mathrm{GF}(r)$. We set $q = p^{2^c}$ and let $m = (q^2 - 1)/2$ be the dimension of the smallest Weil modules. If r is odd, we set

$m^* = m + 1$ and if r is even we put $m^* = m$. By Lemma 3.9, the only non-trivial irreducible representations of degree at most m^* are the Weil representations. In particular, there are no non-trivial representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ of dimension less than m . Throughout the proof of the lemma we shall exploit the Weil embeddings $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r) \leq \mathrm{GL}_{m^*}^\epsilon(r^b)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_m(r) \leq \mathrm{GL}_m^\epsilon(r^b)$ when r is odd, and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_m(r) \leq \mathrm{GL}_m^\epsilon(r^b)$ when $r = 2$. Aiming for a contradiction we suppose that H is localized in G and identify H with its image in G . In particular, this means that any subgroup of G which is isomorphic to H must in fact be conjugate to H . Our contradiction will come about by showing that either H has non-trivial centralizer in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ or that $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ does not embed into $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$.

Suppose that $G = \mathrm{PSL}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$ and that $\hat{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$. Then \hat{G} contains a subgroup \hat{H} which projects to H in G and such that \hat{H} is isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ or to $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$ and in the latter case the centre of \hat{H} lies in the centre of \hat{G} . Plainly for H to be embedded in G , we must have $n \geq m$. If $n > m^*$, then the inclusions

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{GL}_{m^*}^\epsilon(r^b) \leq \mathrm{GL}_{m^*}^\epsilon(r^b) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n-m^*}^\epsilon(r^b) \leq \mathrm{GL}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$$

show that H has non-trivial centralizer in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ unless $\mathrm{GL}_{n-m^*}^\epsilon(r^b) = \mathrm{GL}_1^+(2)$. In the former case we have a contradiction. In the latter case we note that $r^b = 2$ and that $m = m^*$. Hence we have the inclusions

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_m(2) \leq \mathrm{SL}_m(2) \leq \mathrm{SL}_{m+1}(2).$$

Now the graph automorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_{m+1}(2)$ normalizes the subgroup $\mathrm{SL}_m(2)$ and can be arranged to centralize the subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}_m(2)$ which is a contradiction. Thus $n \leq m^*$. If r is odd and $n = m^*$, then we have the inclusions

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r^b) \leq \mathrm{GL}_{m^*}^\epsilon(r^b)$$

and again we have H centralized by a graph automorphism of G . Similarly, if $n = m$ we have

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_m(r) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_m(r^b) \leq \mathrm{GL}_m^\epsilon(r^b)$$

and H has non-trivial centralizer in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ once again. This shows that $G \not\cong \mathrm{PSL}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$.

Suppose that $G = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\epsilon(r^b)$ and that $\hat{G} = \mathrm{GO}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$ with \hat{H} as before. Assume that r is odd and H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G . Then by Lemma 3.10 we require $n \geq m^*$. Notice that m^* is odd. If $n > m^*$, we have the inclusions

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r^b) \leq \mathrm{O}_{m^*}(r^b) \times \mathrm{O}_{n-m^*}^\epsilon(r^b) \leq \mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(r^b).$$

Since r is odd, $O_{n-m^*}^\epsilon(r^b)$ is non-trivial and we infer that H has non-trivial centralizer in $\text{PGO}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $n = m^*$. Then $\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(q) \leq O_{m^*}(r) \leq O_{m^*}(r^b)$. If $b > 1$, then a field automorphism of G centralizes H . So we must have $b = 1$. Then $\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(q) \leq O_{m^*}(r)$. Now by Lemma 3.10, H has two quasiequivalent orthogonal representations of dimension m^* which are inequivalent and so $\text{Aut}(H)$ does not embed into $\text{Aut}(G)$ by Lemma 2.4, and we have a contradiction (here we are using the fact that $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{PGO}_{m^*}^\epsilon(r)$). Now assume that $r = 2$. Then $m = m^*$, and when $n > m$, we have

$$\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(q) \leq \text{Sp}_m(2) \leq \text{Sp}_m(2^a) \times 2 \leq O_{m+2}^\epsilon(2^a) \leq O_n^\epsilon(2^a)$$

and we see that H is centralized by an involution in G . By Lemma 3.9 there is no orthogonal representation of H of dimension m and so this concludes the case $G = \text{PGO}_n^\epsilon(r^b)$.

Finally suppose that $G = \text{PSp}_n(r^b)$ and that $\hat{G} = \text{GSp}_n(r^b)$. If $n = m$, then the argument expounded above shows that \hat{H} commutes with a field automorphism or that $\text{Aut}(H)$ does not embed into $\text{Aut}(G)$. Assume that r^b is odd. If $n \geq 2m^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \text{PSp}_4(q) \leq O_{m^*}(r) \leq \text{GL}_{m^*}(r).2 \leq \text{GL}_{m^*}(r^b).2 \\ &\leq \text{Sp}_{2m^*}(r^b) \leq \text{Sp}_n(r^b) \end{aligned}$$

and H has non-trivial centralizer in G , a contradiction. So $n < 2m^*$. As $q > 4$, and $n < 2m^*$, Lemma 3.9 implies the only non-trivial irreducible representations over a field of characteristic r are the Weil representations. So suppose that $\hat{H} \leq \text{Sp}_n(r^a)$ and that V is the associated $\text{GF}(r)\hat{H}$ -module. Then, as n is even and $n \neq m$, V is not irreducible. If $\hat{H} \cong \text{Sp}_4(q)$, then as \hat{H} projects to $\text{PSp}_4(q)$ in G , we must have that $Z(\hat{H}) \leq Z(\hat{G})$. Hence, in this case, every composition factor of \hat{H} on V is a Weil module of dimension m . It follows that $n = 2m$ and $\hat{H} \cong \text{Sp}_4(q)$ embeds as a diagonal subgroup of $\text{Sp}_m(r) \times \text{Sp}_m(r) \leq \text{Sp}_{2m}(r) \leq \text{Sp}_{2m}(r^b)$ and H is centralized by an involution in G , which is a contradiction. Thus $\hat{H} \cong \text{PSp}_4(q)$ and, as $n < 2m^*$, V has one composition factor of dimension m^* and $n - m^*$ of dimension 1. By Lemma 3.10 (2), the Weil module of dimension m^* supports a quadratic form and not a symplectic form. Thus according to Lemma 3.8 this composition factor has to appear with multiplicity two and we have a contradiction as $n < 2m^*$. This contradiction concludes the investigation of the symplectic groups when r is odd.

Suppose that $r = 2$ and $n > m$. Then we have

$$\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(q) \leq \text{Sp}_m(2) \leq \text{Sp}_m(2^b) \times \text{Sp}_{n-m}(2^b) \leq \text{Sp}_n(2^b)$$

and again H has non-trivial centralizer in G . Hence $G \not\cong \mathrm{PSp}_n(2^b)$ and we have our final contradiction. ■

The next result is required when we consider the characteristic p representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q)$.

LEMMA 3.14: Suppose that $H = \mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$ and $K = \mathrm{GF}(p^b)$. Set $L = \mathrm{GF}(p^a)$ and let $F = \mathrm{GF}(p^d)$ be the largest field which is contained in both L and in K . Assume that V is an irreducible KH -module and $\dim_K V < 10\frac{a}{d}$. Then either

- (1) $V \cong W \otimes_F K$ has dimension $4\frac{a}{d}$ or $5\frac{a}{d}$ where W is a natural 4-dimensional symplectic LH -module or a natural 5-dimensional orthogonal LH -module considered as an FH -module; or
- (2) a is even, $V \cong W \otimes_F K$ has dimension $8\frac{a}{d}$ with W isomorphic to $M \otimes M^\sigma$ considered as an FH -module where M is a natural 4-dimensional symplectic LH -module and σ is the field automorphism of L of order 2. In particular, W supports a non-degenerate KH -invariant quadratic form.

Proof: Let $L^* = \mathrm{GF}(p^e)$ be the field of definition of V and k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Then by [10, (5.4.6)(i)] we see that e divides a . Let $F_0 = \mathrm{GF}(p^f)$ be the largest subfield of K contained in L^* . By Lemma 3.6, there exists an irreducible F_0H -module W such that $V \cong W \otimes_{F_0} K$. In particular, $\dim_K V = \dim_F W \otimes_{F_0} F = \dim_{F_0} W$. Now $W \otimes_{F_0} k = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L^*/F_0)} Y^\tau$ where, by the Steinberg Tensor Product Theorem [10, (5.4.6)(i)], $Y = \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/L^*)} M^\sigma$ for some irreducible kH -module M . Therefore, $\dim_{F_0} W = |\mathrm{Gal}(L^*/F_0)|(\dim_k M)^{a/e}$ for some irreducible kH -module M . Now $|\mathrm{Gal}(L^*/F_0)| = e/f$. Therefore, as $\dim_k M \geq 4$ for all irreducible kH -modules M , we have

$$4\frac{a}{e}\frac{e}{f} \leq \dim_K V < 10\frac{a}{d} \leq 10\frac{a}{f}$$

and so $\frac{a}{e} < 3$. If $a = e$, the claim in (1) follows from [16, Table 6.22]. If $\frac{a}{e} = 2$, then we have $(\dim_k M)^2\frac{e}{f} < 10\frac{a}{d}$ which means that $(\dim_k M)^2 < 20\frac{f}{d}$. It follows that $\dim_k M = 4$ and $f = d$. Thus the claim in (2) holds. ■

LEMMA 3.15: Suppose that $H \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ with $a = 2^c$ and $c > 0$. If H is localized in $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}_n^\epsilon(p^b)$ or $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\epsilon(p^b)$, then $n = 5$, $a = b$ and $G \cong \mathrm{P}\Omega_5(p^a) \cong H$.

Proof: Suppose that H is localized in G . Let \hat{G} be one of $\mathrm{GL}_n^\epsilon(p^b)$ or $\mathrm{GO}_n^\epsilon(p^b)$ and let \hat{H} be the derived subgroup of the preimage of H in \hat{G} . So \hat{H} is isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$. Let $\mathrm{GF}(p^d)$ be the largest subfield of

$\text{GF}(p^a)$ which is also a subfield of $\text{GF}(p^b)$. Suppose first that $n \geq 5\frac{a}{d}$; then the embeddings

$$\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(p^a) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\theta(p^d) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\theta(p^b) \times O_{n-5\frac{a}{d}}^\mu(p^b) \leq O_n^\epsilon(p^b) \leq \text{GL}_n^\gamma(p^b)$$

show that if $n > 5\frac{a}{d}$, then H has non-trivial centralizer in $\text{Aut}(G)$. If $n = 5\frac{a}{d}$, then in the linear and unitary case an outer automorphism of G centralizes H . In the orthogonal case we have

$$\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(p^a) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^d) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^b).$$

If $d < b$, then a field automorphism of G centralizes H . So $d = b$. Assume that $d < a$. Then, as a is a power of 2,

$$\hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(p^a) = O_5(p^a) \leq O_{10}^\epsilon(p^{\frac{a}{2}}) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{b}}^\epsilon(p^b)$$

and the intermediate embedding $O_5(p^a) \leq O_{10}^\epsilon(p^{\frac{a}{2}})$ shows that H has non-trivial centralizer in $\text{Aut}(G)$ (see [6, Table 4.5.1]). The only other possibility is that $a = b$ and $H \cong G$ which is our desired conclusion.

We now suppose that $n < 5\frac{a}{d}$. It follows from Lemma 3.14 that the only non-trivial composition factor of V has dimension $4\frac{a}{d}$ and results from an embedding of $\hat{H} \cong \text{Sp}_4(p^a)$ into \hat{G} . Since this embedding leads to an embedding of H into G , we must have $Z(\hat{G}) \geq Z(\hat{H})$. Thus $n = 4\frac{a}{d}$. If $\hat{G} = \text{GL}_{4\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^b)$, then H has non-trivial centralizer in $\text{Aut}(G)$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus $G = O_{4\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^b)$. But then H supports both a non-trivial quadratic and symplectic form and as every absolutely irreducible module for $\text{Sp}_4(p^a)$ is self-dual (see [10, (4.5.3)]), Lemma 3.7 delivers a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

The proof of the next result mirrors the proof of Lemma 3.15 but is slightly more technical.

LEMMA 3.16: *Suppose that $H \cong \text{PSp}_4(p^a)$ with $a = 2^c$ and $c > 0$. If H is localized in $G \cong \text{PSp}_n(p^b)$, then $n = 4$, $a = b$ and $G \cong \text{PSp}_4(p^a) \cong H$.*

Proof: Suppose that H is localized in G . Let $\hat{G} = \text{Sp}_n(p^b)$ and V be the associated $\text{GF}(p^b)H$ -module. As in Lemma 3.15 let \hat{H} be the derived subgroup of the preimage of H in \hat{G} . So \hat{H} is isomorphic to either $\text{PSp}_4(p^a)$ or $\text{Sp}_4(p^a)$. We let $\text{GF}(p^d)$ be the largest subfield of $\text{GF}(p^a)$ which is also a subfield of $\text{GF}(p^b)$. Suppose first that $n \geq 10\frac{a}{d}$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = \text{PSp}_4(p^a) &\leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^d) \leq O_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^b) \leq \text{GL}_{5\frac{a}{d}}^\epsilon(p^b) \cdot 2 \\ &\leq \text{Sp}_{10\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \leq \text{Sp}_{10\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \times \text{Sp}_{n-10\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \leq \text{Sp}_n(p^b). \end{aligned}$$

So we see that an outer element of $\mathrm{GL}_{5\frac{a}{d}}(p^b).2$ centralizes \hat{H} and we have a contradiction. Therefore, $n < 10\frac{a}{d}$. Suppose that \hat{H} acts irreducibly on V . Then the possibilities for V are given in Lemma 3.14. So $n = 4\frac{a}{d}, 5\frac{a}{d}$ or $8\frac{a}{d}$. In the first case we have

$$\hat{H} = \mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^d) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b).$$

If $b > d$, then H is centralized by a field automorphism of G . Thus $b = d$. If $b < a$, then, as $a = 2^c$, the inclusion $\hat{H} = \mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_8(p^{\frac{a}{2}}) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{2}}(p^b)$ shows that H is centralized by a diagonal automorphism of G (see [6, Table 4.5.1, pg. 172]) which is a contradiction. Therefore, $b = a$ in which case $H \cong G$.

Suppose that $n = 5\frac{a}{d}$. Then $\hat{H} = \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a) \leq \mathrm{O}_{5\frac{a}{d}}(p^d) \leq \mathrm{O}_{5\frac{a}{d}}(p^b)$ as well as $\hat{H} \leq \mathrm{Sp}_n(p^b)$. Therefore, \hat{H} supports both a symplectic and quadratic form on V and as all the absolutely irreducible modules for $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$ are self-dual we have a contradiction to Lemma 3.7.

If $n = 8\frac{a}{d}$ and \hat{H} is irreducible, then there are at least two non-conjugate embeddings of H into G (the irreducible one just mentioned and the reducible one resulting from the diagonal embedding $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b)$).

Thus \hat{H} is not an irreducible subgroup of \hat{G} . If $\hat{H} \cong \mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$, then every composition factor of V must be faithful for \hat{H} . Since $n < 10\frac{a}{d}$ the only way this can happen is if $n = 8\frac{a}{d}$ and \hat{H} embeds diagonally into $\mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{4\frac{a}{d}}(p^b)$. But in this case H centralizes an involution in G . So $\hat{H} \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ and, as $n < 10\frac{a}{d}$, Lemma 3.14 implies \hat{H} has just one non-trivial composition factor on the natural \hat{G} -module V . In particular, \hat{H} must fix a 1-dimensional subspace of V . But then H centralizes a long root subgroup (transvection group) of G and this is our final contradiction. ■

We now begin our investigation of localizations of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^a)$ into the exceptional groups. The proof of the next lemma is taken from parts of [3, 2.13].

LEMMA 3.17: *Suppose that $H = 2^4.\mathrm{Alt}(5)$ and $C_H(\mathrm{O}_2(H)) = \mathrm{O}_2(H)$. Then H is not isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{F}_4(s)$ for any odd prime power s .*

Proof: Aiming for a contradiction we identify H with a subgroup of $G = \mathrm{F}_4(s)$. Let $Q = \mathrm{O}_2(H)$. By [6, Table 4.5.1], G has two conjugacy classes of involutions. Let $x, y \in G$ be representatives of these classes and assume that $C_G(x) \cong (\mathrm{SL}_2(s) \circ \mathrm{Sp}_6(s)).2$ and $C_G(y) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_9(s)$. Suppose that $x \in Q$. Let C be the component of $C_G(x)$ with $C \cong \mathrm{Sp}_6(s)$. Then, as Q has order 2^4 , and the 2-rank of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(s)$ is 2, we have $|Q \cap C| \geq 2^2$. Let $h \in (Q \cap C) \setminus \{x\}$. Then on

the natural 6-dimensional $\mathrm{Sp}_6(s)$ -module, by changing h to hx if necessary, we may assume that h has four eigenvalues equal to -1 . Therefore, $C_C(h)/\langle h \rangle \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(s) \times \mathrm{PSp}_4(s) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(s) \times \Omega_5(s)$. Since $\mathrm{PSp}_6(s)$ does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to $\Omega_5(s)$, we infer that h is not conjugate to x . Therefore, $y^G \cap Q$ is non-empty and so we may assume that $y \in Q$. Now $Q \leq C_G(y) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_9(s)$. When we identify $\mathrm{Spin}_9(s)/\langle y \rangle$ with $\Omega_9(s)$, the involutions $\mathrm{diag}(-1^2, 1^7)$ and $\mathrm{diag}(-1^6, 1^3)$ have preimages of order 4 in $C_G(y)$ and the elements $\mathrm{diag}(-1^4, 1^5)$ and $\mathrm{diag}(-1^8, 1)$ have preimages which are involutions in $C_G(y)$. Moreover, two involutions which are conjugate to $\mathrm{diag}(-1^8, 1)$ and which commute in $C_G(y)/\langle y \rangle$ have product of order 4 (their product centralizes a codimension 2-subspace). Thus $Q \setminus \langle y \rangle$ consists of involutions all of which are conjugate to $\mathrm{diag}(-1^4, 1^5)$. Let $q_1, q_2 \in Q \setminus \langle y \rangle$ with $q_1 q_2 = y$. Then $O^2(C_{C_G(y)}/\langle y \rangle) \cong \Omega_4^+(s) \times \Omega_5(s)$ and so, for $i = 1, 2$, we infer that $C_G(q_i)/\langle q_i \rangle$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(2.\Omega_5(s) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(s)) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(s)$. There is no such subgroup in $\Omega_9(s)$ and so $q_1, q_2 \in x^G$. Hence y is the unique conjugate of y contained Q and so $\langle y \rangle$ is normal in H and this is a contradiction. ■

We now deduce the following interesting result which will be useful for us in the case when $r = p$.

LEMMA 3.18: *Suppose that p and r are odd prime numbers and b is a positive integer. Then the simple groups $F_4(r^b)$, $G_2(r^b)$, ${}^3D_4(r^b)$, ${}^2G_2(3^{2b+1})$ with $r = 3$ and ${}^2F_4(2^{2b+1})$ with $r = 2$ do not contain a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$.*

Proof: Because $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $2^4.\mathrm{Alt}(5)$, $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p)$ is not isomorphic to a subgroup of $F_4(r^b)$ by Lemma 3.17. As $G_2(r^b)$ and ${}^3D_4(r^b)$ are isomorphic to subgroups of $F_4(r^b)$ we have the result for these groups. The observation that ${}^2G_2(3^{2b+1})$ is contained in $G_2(3^{2b+1})$ and ${}^2F_4(2^{2b+1})$ is contained in $F_4(2^{2b+1})$ finishes the lemma. ■

LEMMA 3.19: *Suppose that p is an odd prime and $G = E_8(p^{2^b d})$ where d is odd. If G contains a subgroup H isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^{2^c})$, then $b + 1 \geq c$.*

Proof: Let T be the cyclic subgroup of H of order $(p^{2^{c+1}} + 1)/2$. Then $|T|$ is divisible by a prime l which is a primitive prime divisor of $p^{2^{c+2}} - 1$. Notice that if l is a divisor of $p^d - 1$, then 2^{c+2} divides d . On the other hand, setting $t = p^{2^b d}$, we have that

$$|E_8(t)| = t^{120}(t^{30} - 1)(t^{24} - 1)(t^{20} - 1)(t^{18} - 1)(t^{14} - 1)(t^{12} - 1)(t^8 - 1)(t^2 - 1).$$

It follows that for $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ to be a subgroup of $E_8(p^{2^b d})$ we must have $2^{b+3} \geq 2^{c+2}$. Hence $b+1 \geq c$. ■

PROPOSITION 3.20: *Suppose that p is an odd prime. Then there are no localizations of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ with $c > 0$ into an exceptional group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic p .*

Proof: The result follows trivially from Lemma 3.18 for all the groups other than $E_n(p^k)$, $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ and ${}^2E_6(p^k)$. So assume that $H \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$ is localized in one of the aforementioned groups. Then, writing $k = 2^b d$, we have $b+1 \geq c$ by Lemma 3.19. If $b \geq c$, then, for $\epsilon = \pm$, we have the containments

$$E_6^\epsilon(p^k) \geq E_6^\epsilon(p^{2^b}) \geq \mathrm{SL}_6^\epsilon(p^{2^b})/(p^{2^b} - \epsilon, 3) \geq \Omega_5(p^{2^b}) \geq \Omega_5(p^{2^c})$$

and we see a subgroup isomorphic to H centralizing an involution in $E_6^\epsilon(p^k)$, which is a contradiction. Since the universal version of $E_6(p^k)$ is contained in $E_n(p^k)$ for $n = 7, 8$, we have a contradiction. Therefore, $c = b+1$. In this case we use the containments

$$E_6^\epsilon(p^k) \geq E_6^\epsilon(p^{2^b}) \geq \mathrm{PSp}_8(p^{2^b}) \geq \mathrm{PSp}_4((p^{2^b})^2) = \mathrm{PSp}_4(p^{2^c})$$

and observe that $\mathrm{PSp}_8(p^{2^b})$ is contained in the centralizer of a graph automorphism of $E_6^\epsilon(p^{2^b})$ [6, Table 4.5.1]. Finally, noting once again that the universal version of $E_6(p^k)$ is contained in $E_n(p^k)$ for $n = 7, 8$, and this subgroup centralizes semisimple elements from a maximal torus we have shown that H is not localized in any exceptional group and we are done. ■

The case of cross characteristic embeddings of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ into exceptional groups is far more straightforward. We deal explicitly with localizations of H into $E_8(r^b)$.

LEMMA 3.21: *Suppose that p is an odd prime, $q = p^{2^c}$ with $c > 0$ and $H = \mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$. Then H is not isomorphic to a subgroup of $E_8(r^b)$ for any prime $r \neq p$.*

Proof: Let $G = E_8(r^b)$. Using Lemma 3.9 we have that $(q^2 - 1)/2 \leq 248$. Thus as $q = p^{2^c}$ with $c > 0$, $q = 9$. However, this solitary possibility is explicitly ruled out in [14, Proposition 8.1]. ■

We present a rather weak result about sporadic simple groups.

LEMMA 3.22: Suppose that p is an odd prime, $q = p^{2^c}$ with $c > 0$ and $H = \text{PSp}_4(q)$. Then H is not isomorphic to a subgroup of a sporadic simple group.

Proof: Let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of H . Then $|S| = q^4 \geq p^4$. By considering the orders of the Sylow p -subgroups of the sporadic simple groups and recalling that $q = p^{2^c} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that $p^{2^c} \in \{3^2, 3^4, 5^2\}$. If $q = 3^4$ or $q = 5^2$, $|H|$ is divisible by the primes 193 and 313 respectively. Since these primes do not divide the orders of any sporadic simple group, we get $p^{2^c} = 3^2$. However, then 41 divides $|H|$ and this means that the only possibility is that it is a subgroup of the Monster simple group M and for this case we refer to [19]. ■

Finally we assemble the pieces to provide a proof of Proposition 3.1. First of all Lemmas 3.3 and 3.11, 3.12 prove Proposition 3.1(1). So suppose that $q = p^{2^c}$ with $c > 0$. Then Lemmas 3.2, 3.4, 3.13, 3.15, 3.16, 3.20, 3.21 and 3.22 prove Proposition 3.1(2). Of course Propositions 2.9 and 3.1 together provide the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 10, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [2] R. W. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type. Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, Reprint of the 1985 original, Wiley Classics Library, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1993.
- [3] A. M. Cohen, M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G. M. Seitz, *The local maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type, finite and algebraic*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **64** (1992), 21–48.
- [4] B. N. Cooperstein, *Minimal degree for a permutation representation of a classical group*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **30** (1978), 213–235.
- [5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups. Maximal Subgroups and Ordinary Characters for Simple Groups*, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [6] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*, Number 3, Part I, Chapter A, *Almost simple K-groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 40.3, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [7] R. M. Guralnick, K. Magaard, J. Saxl and P. H. Tiep, *Cross characteristic representations of symplectic and unitary groups*, Journal of Algebra **257** (2002), 291–347.

- [8] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker and R. Wilson, *An Atlas of Brauer Characters*, Appendix 2 by T. Breuer and S. Norton, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, 11, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [9] P. B. Kleidman, *The maximal subgroups of the finite 8-dimensional orthogonal groups $P\Omega_8^+(q)$ and of their automorphism groups*, Journal of Algebra **110** (1987), 173–242.
- [10] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [11] M. W. Liebeck, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **50** (1985), 426–446.
- [12] M. W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **55** (1987), 299–330.
- [13] M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G. M. Seitz, *Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **65** (1992), 297–325.
- [14] M. W. Liebeck and G. M. Seitz, *On finite subgroups of exceptional algebraic groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **515** (1999), 25–72.
- [15] F. Lübeck, *Smallest degrees of representations of exceptional groups of Lie type*, Communications in Algebra **29** (2001), 2147–2169.
- [16] F. Lübeck, *Small degree representations of finite Chevalley groups in defining characteristic*, London Mathematical Society Journal of Computational Mathematics **4** (2001), 135–169 (electronic).
- [17] G. Malle, *The maximal subgroups of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , Journal of Algebra **139** (1991), 52–69.
- [18] H. H. Mitchell, *The subgroups of the quaternary abelian linear group*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **15** (1914), 379–396.
- [19] S. P. Norton and R. A. Wilson, *Anatomy of the Monster. II*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) **84** (2002), 581–598.
- [20] J. L. Rodríguez, J. Scherer and J. Thévenaz, *Finite simple groups and localization*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **131** (2002), 185–202.
- [21] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, *Minimal characters of the finite classical groups*, Communications in Algebra **24** (1996), 2093–2167.